

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,949	02/12/2002	Thomas Huber	043978-037000	3402
22204 NIXON PEAB	7590 10/05/200° ODY, LLP	, EXAMINER		
401 9TH STRE		SHANG, ANNAN Q		
SUITE 900 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004-2128	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/076,949	HUBER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Annan Q. Shang	2623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	ss			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this commu IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status		•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	ılv 2007					
· —	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims		.,,				
·		,					
	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r alaction requirement					
٥/۵	are subject to restriction and/o	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers		•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		•			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		olication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	eceived in this National Sta	ge			
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.				
• • •							
Attachmen	• •	□	(979.446)	•			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	,			
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1-24 and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Kitsukawa et al (6,282,713)** in view of **Zigmond et al (6,698,020)**.

As to claim 1, note the **Kitsukawa** reference figures 2 and 4-10, discloses method and apparatus for providing on-demand electronic advertising and further discloses a method of offering a product for sale presented on an interactive media system comprising:

A first database that contains customer identification and preference information (a server at TV Broadcast Station 'BS' of Head end 'HE'), where the BS/HE identifies a viewer and preference information of the viewer; a second database (Advertisement Server at BS/HE or Merchant site Server) and information describing the products contained in at least one advertisement (col.1, line 35-col.2, line 15 and col3, line 61-col.4, line 12);

Displaying an advertising message (CPU-29/Display 4A) on the interactive media system comprising at least one visual image of the product; and a first program for receiving a response from a viewer to the advertising message and a second program that presents information of the product to the viewer (figs.4-7, col.6, line 19-64);

Application/Control Number: 10/076,949

Art Unit: 2623

Checking if a plurality of versions exist for the products contained within the product advertising message (figs.5-7); automatically selecting the item based on the user preference information; displaying information describing the item and displaying purchasing information (col.8, line 46-col.9, line 11 and col.11, line 62-col.12, line 32), note different chairs 521, 527; different huts 522, 523; furthermore when a car ads is selected car, car tires, type, car detailing services, car repair services, etc., (plurality of versions) is provided to the user.

Kitsukawa, teaches using user settings and preferences for processing of information and configuration of the system (col.5, line 56-col.6, line 18), but silent to comparing the plurality of versions (ads) with previously collected and stored preference information associated with the viewer.

However, **Zigmond** discloses techniques for intelligent video ad insertion and further discloses comparing ads with previously collected and stored preference information associated with the viewer (figs.1, 4-8, col.4, line 53-col.5, line 14 and col.9, line 21-col.11, line 1+).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Zigmond into the system of Kitsukawa to compare a plurality of versions with previously collected and stored preference information associated with the viewer, to properly target specific ads based on viewer(s) preferences to generate income.

As to claims 2-3, Kitsukawa further discloses displaying purchasing icon, checking if billing and shipping information exist for the viewer and displaying a single

Application/Control Number: 10/076,949

Art Unit: 2623

purchase icon if the billing and shipping information exists and displaying the billing and shipping information (col.11, line 62-col.12, line 32), note that the user orders or purchases the product electronically and various transaction such as billing and shipping information is needed in order for the user to receive the desired product.

As to claims 4-5, Kitsukawa further discloses display image prior to broadcast of the image and combining the icon with a displayed image in the receiving unit (col.6, line 54-col.7, line 20, col.9, line 34-col.10, line 28 and line 45+).

As to claim 6, the claimed "A system for advertising and purchasing products presented on an interactive television system..." is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 7 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 2-3.

As to claim 8, the claimed "A method of offering a product for sale presented on an interactive television system..." is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 9 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 2-3.

As to claim 10, the claimed "A system for advertising and purchasing products presented on an interactive television system..." is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 11 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 2-3.

As to claim 12, the claimed "A method of advertising and selling products presented on an interactive television system..." is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/076,949

Art Unit: 2623

Claim 13 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 2-3.

As to claim 14, the claimed "A method of advertising and selling a product on an interactive media system..." is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 15 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 2-3.

As to claim 16, Kitsukawa further discloses changing the appearance of the object (figs.5-11, col.8, line 17-col.9, line 33, line 34-col.10, line 1+ and col.11, line 5+).

As to claim 17, Kitsukawa further discloses displaying an icon in conjunction with the object (col.8, line 17-col.9, line 33, line 34-col.10, line 1+ and col.11, line 5+).

As to claims 18-19, Kitsukawa further discloses displaying text over the object and displaying a graphic image over the object (col.8, line 17-col.9, line 33, line 34-col.10, line 1+ and col.11, line 5+).

As to claims 20-21, Kitsukawa further discloses warping the text to conform to the shape of the object and warping the graphic image to conform to the shape of the object (col.8, line 17-col.9, line 33, line 34-col.10, line 1+ and col.11, line 5+).

As to claim 22, the claimed "A system for advertising and purchasing a product presented on an interactive media system..." is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 1.

Claims 23-24 are met as previously discussed with respect to claims 2-3.

As to claim 29, the claimed "A system for advertising and selling a product presented on an interactive television system..." is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 30 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 2-3.

As to claim 32, the claimed "A method of offering a product for sale in conjunction with motion video program presented on an interactive media..." is composed of the same structural elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 33 is met as previously discussed with respect to claims 2-3.

3. Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Kitsukawa et al (6,282,713)** in view of **Zigmond et al (6,698,020)** as applied to claim 22 above and further in view of **Matsko (2002/0062254)**

As to claims 25-28, Kitsukawa as modified by Zigmond, fail to explicitly teach information of past purchases by the customer, determining a price for the product employing loyalty information, history of past purchases of products from the same retailer/manufacturer.

However, note the **Matsko** reference discloses determining customer purchasing history and determining a price for the product employing loyalty information, history of past purchases of products from the same retailer/manufacturer (fig.1, page 2, [0018-0019]).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Matsko into the system of Kitsukawa as modified by Wolff to provide special incentives to specific customers or members or frequent shoppers.

Art Unit: 2623

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The amendment to the claims necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection discussed above. The office action is made final.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Picco et al (6,029,045) disclose system and method for inserting local content into programming content.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2623

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Annan Q. Shang** whose telephone number is **571-272-7355**. The examiner can normally be reached on 700am-400pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher S. Kelley** can be reached on **571-272-7331**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Annan Q. Shang